UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,615	11/04/2003	Brian Styles	570-P0002	2412
23334 7590 07/30/2007 FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI & BIANCO P.L.			EXAMINER .	
			BAROT, BHARAT	
	ONE BOCA COMMERCE CENTER 551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111 BOCA RATON, FL 33487		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOCA RATON			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	ŧ		. 07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/700,615	STYLES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bharat N. Barot	2155			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	av 2007				
		action is non-final.				
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are pending in the applic	cation				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m mann demolacidation.				
·	Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	on Papers	ologian roquiloment.				
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 1 and 3-7; and new claims 8-22 remain for further examination.

The old rejection maintained

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-22 filed on May 09, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the claims 1 and 3-22. The rejection is respectfully maintained as set forth in the last Office Action mailed on February 09, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pitzel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,062,765). Pitzel's patent meets all the limitations for the claims 1 and 3-19 recited in the claimed invention.
- 5. As to claim 1, Pitzel et al teach a method in a client-server environment, to manage a configuration of resources on at least one client system (see abstract; figure 1; and column 1 lines 6-12), the method on a client system comprising: receiving at least one local run-time environmental condition including at least one condition based on whether a CPU in a client system is one of a mobile type to determine whether one or more selectable configuration settings are applied on the client system (figure 1;

Application/Control Number: 10/700,615

Art Unit: 2155

column 2 lines 20-38; and column 3 lines 28-63), wherein the one or more selectable configuration settings are previously set graphically using a graphical user interface with one or more user selectable configuration settings therein (figure 1; column 3 lines 46-63; and column 4 lines 8-40); determining if the environmental condition indicates that the client system is a portable system; and in response to the environmental condition indicating that the client system is a portable system then applying at least one of the one or more selectable configuration settings on the client system (figure 1; column 3 lines 46-63; and column 4 lines 41-60).

- 6. As to claim 3, Pitzel et al teach that the determining if the environmental condition is met where the client system is a portable system includes determining/verifying the client components (hardware/software) and assigning a confidence value (identifier) to each of the components (figures 2-3; and column 5 line 64 to column 7 line 11).
- 7. As to claims 4-5, Pitzel et al teach that the determining if the environmental condition is met includes applying at least one of the one or more selectable configuration settings on the client system for an application's default document file location path and removing any folder redirections to a network resource (figures 4-6; and column 7 line 15 to column 10 line 30).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/700,615 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

8. As to claims 6-7, Pitzel et al teach that the determining if the environmental condition is met includes applying at least one of the one or more selectable configuration settings includes removing any proxy server authentication, which required for logout and shutdown (figure 7; column 4 lines 31-40; and column 10 line 31 to column 11 line 31).

- 9. As to claims 8-19 they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1 and 3-7 above. Additionally, Pitzel et al teach that a PC card driver is started in a client system and a PC card is inserted in a socket in a client system (figure 1 and columns 3-5).
- 10. As to claims 20-22 they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 1 3 above. Additionally, Pitzel et al teach that a power supply is present in a client system (battery/UPS/PPS) (figure 1; and column 3 line 46-63); and a power supply is present in a computer system is inherent in the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-22 filed on May 09, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the claims 1 and 3-22.

In the remarks, the applicant argues that:

Art Unit: 2155

(A) Argument: Pitzel is silent on: determining if the environmental condition indicates that the client system is a portable system; and in response to the environmental condition indicating that the client system is a portable system then applying at least one of the one or more selectable configuration settings on the client system.

Response: Pitzel explicitly teaches that determining if the environmental condition indicates that the client system is a portable system; and in response to the environmental condition indicating that the client system is a portable system then applying at least one of the one or more selectable configuration settings on the client system (figure 1; column 3 lines 46-63; and column 4 lines 41-60).

(B) Argument: No detection of a portable client system 104 is taught or suggested by Pitzel for applying configuration settings.

Response: Pitzel explicitly teaches that determining if the environmental condition indicates that the client system is a portable system; and in response to the environmental condition indicating that the client system is a portable system then applying at least one of the one or more selectable configuration settings on the client system (figure 1; columns 3-4).

Art Unit: 2155

(C) Argument: Pitzel does not suggest, mention or teach any of these terms "a client CPU is a mobile type"; "a PC Card driver is started"; "a PC Card is inserted in a socket"; "a system battery is present"; "an uninterruptible power supply (UPS) is connected"; and "a portable power scheme is selected in an operating system" and using one or more of the confidence values to determine if the client system is a laptop.

Response: Pitzel explicitly teaches that receiving at least one local run-time environmental condition including at least one condition based on whether a CPU in a client system is one of a mobile type to determine whether one or more selectable configuration settings are applied on the client system (figure 1; column 2 lines 20-38; and column 3 lines 28-63). Also, Pitzel teaches that a PC card driver is started in a client system and a PC card is inserted in a socket in a client system (figure 1 and columns 3-5); and a power supply is present in a client system (battery/UPS/PPS) (figure 1; and column 3 line 46-63). NOTE: a power supply is present in a computer system is inherent in the art at the time the invention was made.

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2155

Contact Information

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bharat Barot** whose Telephone Number is **(571) 272-3979**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM. Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number **(571) 273-8300**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, <u>Saleh Najjar</u>, can be reached at (571) 272-4006.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

July 12, 2007

Bhoset Barot.
BHARAT BAROT
BHARY EXAMINER

Page 7